

E-mail: [comsec@teignbridge.gov.uk](mailto:comsec@teignbridge.gov.uk)

7 February 2023

## PLANNING COMMITTEE

A meeting of the **Planning Committee** will be held on **Wednesday, 15th February, 2023** in the Buckland Athletic Football Club, Kingskerswell Rd, Newton Abbot, TQ12 5JU at **10.00 am**

PHIL SHEARS  
Managing Director

Membership: Councillors Goodman-Bradbury (Chair), J Petherick (Vice-Chair), Bradford, Clarence, Colclough, H Cox, Dewhurst, Hayes, J Hook, Jeffery, Kerswell, MacGregor, Nuttall, Nutley, Parker and Peart

Substitutes: Councillors Austen, Daws, Haines, Jeffries, Phipps and Connett

**Please Note:** Filming is permitted during Committee meeting with the exception where there are confidential or exempt items, which may need to be considered in the absence of the press and public. This meeting will be livestreamed on Youtube. By entering the meeting's venue you are consenting to being filmed.

## **Public Access Statement**

### **Information for the Public**

There is an opportunity for members of the public to speak on planning applications at this meeting. Full details are available online at [www.teignbridge.gov.uk/planningcommittee](http://www.teignbridge.gov.uk/planningcommittee).

Please email [comsec@teignbridge.gov.uk](mailto:comsec@teignbridge.gov.uk) or phone 01626 215112 to request to speak by **12 Noon** two clear working days before the meeting.

This agenda is available online at [www.teignbridge.gov.uk/agendas](http://www.teignbridge.gov.uk/agendas) five clear working days prior to the meeting. If you would like to receive an e-mail which contains a link to the website for all forthcoming meetings, please e-mail [comsec@teignbridge.gov.uk](mailto:comsec@teignbridge.gov.uk)

General information about Planning Committee, delegated decisions, dates of future committees, public participation in committees as well as links to agendas and minutes are available at [www.teignbridge.gov.uk/planningcommittee](http://www.teignbridge.gov.uk/planningcommittee)

The Local Plan 2014-2033 is available at <https://www.teignbridge.gov.uk/media/1669/local-plan-2013-33.pdf>

## **A G E N D A**

### **PART I**

#### **(Open to the Public)**

1. Apologies for absence.
2. Minutes (Pages 5 - 10)  
To confirm the minutes of the last meeting.
3. Declarations of Interest.  
If Councillors have any questions relating to predetermination or interests in items on this Agenda, please contact the Monitoring Officer in advance of the meeting.
4. Public Participation  
The Chairman to advise the Committee on any requests received from members of the public to address the Committee.
5. Chairs' Announcements
6. Planning applications for consideration - to consider applications for planning permission as set out below.
  - a) 19/01274/REM - Connybeare Wood, Kerswell-With-Combe (Pages 11 - 18)

7. Appeal Decisions - to note appeal decisions made by the Planning Inspectorate.  
(Pages 19 - 20)
8. Major Decisions Summary (Pages 21 - 22)

This page is intentionally left blank

## **PLANNING COMMITTEE**

### **14 DECEMBER 2022**

#### Present:

Councillors Bradford, Clarence, Colclough, H Cox, Dewhirst, J Hook, Kerswell, Nuttall, J Petherick (Vice-Chair) and Russell

#### Members in Attendance:

Councillors

#### Apologies:

Councillors Goodman-Bradbury, Hayes, Jeffery, MacGregor, Nutley, Parker and Peart

#### Officers in Attendance:

Rosalyn Eastman, Business Manager, Strategic Place  
Paul Woodhead, Head of Legal Services & Monitoring Officer to the Council  
Christopher Morgan, Trainee Democratic Services Officer  
Sarah Selway, Democratic Services Team Leader & Deputy Monitoring Officer  
Cheryl Stanbury, Senior Planning Officer

## **23. MINUTES**

It was proposed by Councillor J Petherick and seconded by Councillor Nuttall that the minutes of the previous meeting be agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

A vote was taken.

Resolved

That the minutes of the previous meeting be agreed as a correct record and signed by the Chair.

### a) **21/01352/MAJ - Obelisk Gardens, Kenton (Pages 5 - 6)**

The Senior Planning Officer presented the application to the Committee.

Public Speaker, Objector – Spoke on:

- 67 objections
- No public consultation
- Traffic concerns

## Planning Committee (14.12.2022)

- Lack of ecological survey
- Bat concerns
- Presence of applicant on site necessary
- Conditions needed for environment and management

Public Speaker, Supporter – Spoke on:

- Local applicant
- Popular site
- Run down site before purchasing
- Attractive environment
- EV charging stations
- Vehicle storage
- Support from English Heritage

Comments from Councillors included

- Lack of public consultation
- Management plan condition
- Timing of bat survey
- Narrow roads
- Devon County Council is satisfied
- AGLV criteria
- Control of lighting
- 11pm BBQ time limit is too late
- Avoid conflicting with national policy
- Caravans are too similar to chalets
- Residents would likely travel around the district
- No heritage opposition
- Support expansion and improvement
- Encourage tourism
- Poor access

In response Officers clarified that

- Landscape survey not requested
- Bat survey carried out
- Condition 19 for management
- Consulting is not mandatory
- AGLV development, must enhance area
- Environmental health team agreed 11pm time limit
- Biodiversity officer SAC screening
- Broadly conforms to national policy
- Sustainability provided in the fact that it is a domestic holiday destination

It was proposed by Councillor J Hook and seconded by Councillor Colclough that permission be refused due to sustainability and traffic concerns.

A vote was taken – see attached.

Resolved

That permission be refused for the following reasons

1. Environmentally unsustainable
2. Impact on traffic

Note on refusal

*The decision to refuse this application was contrary to officer recommendation. The application was considered unacceptable due to the increased traffic on narrow roads and the lack of sustainability, as well as public opposition and large scale of the application.*

**24. MAJOR DECISIONS SUMMARY**

The Major Decision Summary sheet was noted by the Committee.

**25. APPEAL DECISIONS - TO NOTE APPEAL DECISIONS MADE BY THE PLANNING INSPECTORATE.**

The Committee noted the appeal decisions made by the Planning Inspectorate.

The meeting started at 10.00 am and finished at 11.13 am.

Chair  
Cllr Goodman-Bradbury

This page is intentionally left blank



**Planning Recorded Vote Roll Call Minute Item 23a**

<b>COUNCILLORS</b>	<b>FOR</b>	<b>AGAINST</b>	<b>ABSTAIN</b>
CLLR JANET BRADFORD	<b>X</b>		
CLLR CHRIS CLARENCE	<b>X</b>		
CLLR MARY COLCLOUGH	<b>X</b>		
CLLR HUW COX	<b>X</b>		
CLLR ALISTAIR DEWHIRST		<b>X</b>	
CLLR JACKIE HOOK	<b>X</b>		
CLLR AVRIL KERSWELL	<b>X</b>		
CLLR CHARLES NUTTALL	<b>X</b>		
CLLR SYLVIA RUSSELL	<b>X</b>		
CLLR JOHN PETHERICK	<b>X</b>		
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>1</b>	


This page is intentionally left blank



**Planning Committee Report**

**Chairman: Cllr. Linda Goodman-Bradbury**


<b>Date</b>	15 February 2023
<b>Case Officer</b>	Gary Crawford
<b>Location</b>	Connybeare Wood St Marychurch Road Newton Abbot Devon TQ12 4SE
<b>Proposal</b>	Approval of details for a dwelling - pursuant to outline consent 15/03395/OUT (approval sought for layout, scale, access, landscaping and appearance)
<b>Applicant</b>	Mr I Mitchell
<b>Ward</b>	Kerswell-with-Combe
<b>Member(s)</b>	Cllr Mike Haines, Cllr Sheila Cook
<b>Reference</b>	19/01274/REM



[Online Details and Documents](#)

**RECOMMENDATION: RESERVED MATTERS APPROVAL - Indicative Plan**

Crown Copyright and database rights 2023 Ordnance Survey 100024292



## 1. REASON FOR REPORT

Councillor Haines has requested that this application is referred to the Planning Committee for determination if the case officer is recommending the application for approval for the following reason:

- Policy S22 of the Teignbridge Local Plan allows for replacement dwellings in the countryside, although is silent on footprint size of the replacement. The previous dwelling had a footprint of 345 sq m and the outline permission is for 380 sq m. The reserved matters application is for 506 sq m which might be considered in excess of 'replacement'.

## 2. RECOMMENDATION

PERMISSION BE GRANTED subject to the following conditions:

1. Works shall proceed in accordance with approved plans.
2. No part of the development hereby permitted shall be commenced until an appropriate ground investigation has been undertaken and the details of the ground investigation have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority.
3. Prior to development above the damp proof course, samples or details of the materials to be used on the external surfaces shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. Stone shall be locally sourced and laid on its natural bed.
4. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the safeguards and mitigation measures set out in the ecological report. On completion of the works hereby approved, the bat/ecological consultant shall confirm in writing to TDC that the required measures and features have been put in place and are acceptable.
5. The development shall proceed in accordance with the energy efficiency and low carbon measures as set out in the Design and Access Statement. Prior to the occupation of the dwelling, solar panels, an electric vehicle charge point and the green roof shall be installed and ready for commissioning.
6. Prior to the installation of any external lighting on the outside of the building or elsewhere on the site full details including design, siting and illumination-type shall be submitted to the Local Planning Authority for approval.
7. Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended) (or any order revoking and re-enacting that Order with or without modification) no development of the type described in Class AA of Part 1 shall be constructed.

## 3. DESCRIPTION

### The site

- 3.1 The site relates to a fire damaged dwellinghouse (2011) sited within mature woodland and adjacent to glasshouses (part of old Torbay palm farm) to the east and a quarry (old gravel pit) to the south west with fields beyond to the west/south west. Due to the wooded nature of the site, the existing fire damaged dwelling is

only visible on aerial photos and within the site itself. The woodland which forms the application site is subject to a tree preservation order and the site is located within an Area of Great Landscape Value (AGLV).

- 3.2 The site is accessed off a straight section of the St Marychurch Road, which is subject to a 60 mph speed limit, via an existing access which gives at least 100m visibility on one side and 70m to the other.
- 3.3 Outline planning permission for a replacement dwelling on the site with all matters reserved for future consideration was approved under reference number 15/03395/OUT in July 2016 with a 3 year period for the submission of reserved matters. The subject 2019 applicaiotn was submitted in time.

#### The application

- 3.4 The current application seeks reserved matters consent for layout, scale, access, landscaping and appearance of the dwelling approved under outline consent 15/03395/OUT.

#### Main issues

The main issues for consideration are:

- Principle of the development;
- Impact upon the character and visual amenity of the area;
- Impact on residential amenity of surrounding properties;
- Impact on trees;
- Biodiversity impacts;
- Land drainage/quarry presence;
- Highway safety;
- Carbon reduction.

#### Principle of the development

- 3.5 The principle of a replacement dwelling on the site has been confirmed by virtue of the outline planning permission approved under reference 15/03395/OUT. This application seeks reserved matters consent for layout, scale, access landscaping and appearance of the dwelling approved under outline consent 15/03395/OUT.

#### Impact upon the character and visual amenity of the area

- 3.6 The proposed new dwelling would appear as a two storey dwelling when viewed from the front and a three storey dwelling when viewed from the rear. The new dwelling would be flat roofed and would feature locally sourced stone, render, vertical cedar timber and bronze coloured cladding for the walls. The new dwelling would feature a green roof at first floor level which would form a link to the detached garage. The new dwelling and garage would be located on the footprint of the existing ruined house and garage and would have a footprint of 506 sq m.
- 3.7 It is acknowledged that the proposed dwelling is large in terms of its footprint and scale. However, the footprint of the dwelling shown on plans for approved outline application 15/03395/OUT was indicative and all matters, including scale and layout, were reserved for future consideration. Whilst the proposed dwelling would be larger in terms of its footprint than the previous dwelling on the site, given the size of the plot, it is considered that the application site is capable of taking the

proposed dwelling. Furthermore, the new dwelling is unlikely to be visible from the public realm as the site is very thickly screened by protected trees throughout the site. Moreover, the Council's Landscape Officer was consulted on this application and he raised no objections to the proposal. The Landscape Officer commented that the proposed development is set within a woodland that is protected by a tree preservation order and, as a consequence, the development will have no adverse effects on the wider landscape. The Landscape Officer's only concern is with regards to possible future changes to the site entrance that may ensue and he has requested that he would like to see any future changes resisted.

- 3.8 As such, given the size of the plot and given that the proposed development is set within a woodland that is protected by a tree preservation order, it is considered that visual impact of the new dwelling is acceptable and it is deemed that the proposal would not result in any adverse impacts upon the character and visual amenity of the open countryside or AGLV. It is however considered necessary to remove the permitted development right in relation to the enlargement of a dwellinghouse by construction of additional storeys in order to ensure that the character and appearance of the locality are protected.

#### Impact on residential amenity of surrounding properties

- 3.9 As the new dwelling would be sited a significant distance from the nearest neighbouring dwelling, it is deemed that the proposal would not have any adverse effects on residential amenity of any surrounding properties.

#### Impact on trees

- 3.10 The site is subject to a tree preservation order, TDC's Senior Arboricultural Officer has commented that he has no arboricultural objections to the proposal.

#### Impact on ecology/biodiversity

- 3.11 The Council's Biodiversity Officer has been consulted on this application and has commented that he has no objections to the proposal, subject to the safeguards and mitigation measures which are set out in the submitted ecological report being put in place. The Biodiversity Officer has requested that on completion of the works, the bat/ecological consultant shall confirm in writing to the Council that the required measures and features have been put in place and are acceptable. In addition, the Biodiversity Officer has recommended that condition for ongoing regulation of external lighting is included with any permission.
- 3.12 As such, subject to the conditions detailed above, it is deemed that the proposal would not result in any adverse impacts on biodiversity.

#### Land drainage/quarry presence

- 3.13 It is proposed that surface water from the proposed new dwelling would be disposed off via soakaways approximately 75m to the west of the dwelling, in the area of the former soakaway for the original house. The Council's Engineers have been consulted on this application and they have commented that the applicant has submitted an appropriate drainage strategy which addresses the discharge of surface water from the site which avoids water being infiltrated in close proximity to the quarried area to the south of the dwelling.

- 3.14 The Council's Engineers commented in their original consultation response for this application that although a preliminary stability assessment has been undertaken to analyse the impact of the proposed development on the adjacent quarry slopes which suggests that surcharge loading could be managed in a way which will limit destabilising effects of the development on the boundary slopes, no detailed site specific investigations have been undertaken. The preliminary stability assessment report recommends that an appropriate ground investigation is required to validate the assumptions of the stability analysis presented. As such, the Council's Engineers consider that until such time a detailed investigation has been undertaken, it cannot be assumed that the proposed building, its location and associated foundations can be undertaken without a detrimental impact on the stability of the quarry slopes.
- 3.15 As a ground investigation has yet to be submitted, the Council's Engineers have recommended that a condition is included with any approval requiring this information to be submitted to enable the construction of the building.

#### Highway safety

- 3.16 As the proposal is a one for one replacement dwelling, albeit a larger dwelling, the traffic generated from the site would be similar to the former dwelling. It should be noted that the site is still visited, if not formally occupied at present by the applicant, and therefore it is considered that a replacement dwelling would have no additional undue impact on highway safety

#### Carbon reduction

- 3.17 The submitted Design and Access Statement details that the building is proposed to have sustainable measures including roof mounted solar panels, triple glazing and a MVHR (mechanical ventilation with heat recovery) system to minimise heat loss and improve air quality. In addition, the building would feature a green roof at first floor level. These features together with requiring an electric vehicle charge point to be installed prior to the occupation of the dwelling will be conditioned.

#### Conclusion

- 3.18 The proposed development is considered to be acceptable and therefore it is recommended that planning permission be granted subject to conditions.

## **4. POLICY DOCUMENTS**

### Teignbridge Local Plan 2013-2033

S1A Presumption in favour of Sustainable Development  
S1 Sustainable Development Criteria  
S2 Quality Development  
S7 Carbon Emission Targets  
S22 Countryside  
EN2A Landscape Protection and Enhancement  
EN3 Carbon Reduction Plans  
EN4 Flood Risk  
EN7 Contaminated Land

EN8 Biodiversity Protection and Enhancement  
EN11 Legally Protected and Priority Species  
EN12 Woodlands, Trees and Hedgerows

National Planning Policy Framework  
National Planning Practice Guidance

**5. CONSULTEES (Full comments available on file)**

**TDC Landscape Officer:**

No objection.

The proposed development is set within a woodland that is protected by a TPO and, as a consequence, the development will have no adverse effects on the wider landscape. My only concern regards possible future changes to the site entrance that may ensue and which I would like to see resisted.

**TDC Senior Arboricultural Officer:** There are no arboricultural objections to the proposal.

**TDC Biodiversity Officer:**

The revised ecological report, with additional recommendations, is noted.

There would be no objection subject to the ecological report safeguards and mitigation measures being put in place (see especially pages 12-19 of the report, regarding safeguards and timing of works during demolition and construction phases [pages 11-12 and section 10, page 14]; lighting [p.13]; provision for bats [p.15-16]; provision for birds; landscaping [both p.17]).

Please include a condition to cover these; please include as part of the condition that on completion of works the bat/ecological consultant shall confirm in writing to TDC that the required measures and features have been put in place and are acceptable.

Please also include the standard condition for ongoing regulation of external lighting.

**TDC Engineers:**

Comments dated 14 January 2023

Further to the submission of the Drainage Strategy in September 2022 following my consultation response on 13/08/2019, the applicant has submitted an appropriate Drainage Strategy which addresses the discharge of surface water from the site which avoid water being infiltrated in close proximity to the quarried area.

As noted in my previous consultation response:

*Given the development's proximity to the former quarry workings a preliminary stability assessment (Reference: GCE00980/LR1, dated 26/06/19) has been*



*undertaken to analyse the impact of the proposed development on the adjacent quarry slopes.*

*It should be noted that this is a desktop based analysis and although it suggests that surcharge loading could be managed in a way which will limit destabilising effects of the development on the boundary slopes no detailed site specific investigations has been undertaken. The report recommends that an appropriate ground investigation is required to validate the assumptions of the stability analysis presented. Therefore until such time a detailed investigation has been undertaken it cannot be assumed that the proposed building, it's location and associated foundations can be undertaken without a detrimental impact on the stability of the quarry slopes.*

It is noted that this has yet to be submitted and therefore I would recommend an appropriate condition is provided on any approval to enable this information to be submitted to enable the construction of the building. It is noted above that engineering solutions exist to manage destabilising effects on the quarry slopes but the site specific GI is required to validate any designs.

#### **DCC Highways:**

The County Highway Authority recommends that the Standing Advice issued to Teignbridge District Council is used to assess the highway impacts, on the above application.

#### **DCC Minerals:**

Applications for the approval of reserved matters are exempt from the need for consultation with the mineral planning authority, as indicated in the County Council's Mineral safeguarding SPD, and Devon County Council therefore has no comment in its role of mineral planning authority

## **6. REPRESENTATIONS**

A site notice was erected and neighbouring properties were consulted via letter.

No representations have been received.

## **7. TOWN / PARISH COUNCIL'S COMMENTS**

### **Coffinswell Parish Council:**

Whilst the Parish Council does not object in principle to one dwelling on the site, it was RESOLVED that the application be REFUSED on the following grounds:

- There is a dwelling already on the site which is used for residential purposes but does not have any formal planning permission. This structure should be removed prior to any building commencing on the new house as per the application currently submitted.

- The Parish Council have reported concerns regarding the wider site over the course of several years, including unauthorised building works and business use of the site in the shipping containers which require formalisation prior to any additional works being undertaken on the site

## **8. COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY**

The proposed gross internal area is 1,142.02 sq m. The existing gross internal area in lawful use for a continuous period of at least six months within the three years immediately preceding this grant of planning permission is 0 sq m. The CIL liability for this development is £339,261.17. This is based on 1,142.02 net m<sup>2</sup> at £200 per m<sup>2</sup> and includes an adjustment for inflation in line with the BCIS since the introduction of CIL.

## **9. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

Due to its scale, nature and location this development will not have significant effects on the environment and therefore is not considered to be EIA Development.

## **10. HUMAN RIGHTS ACT**

The development has been assessed against the provisions of the Human Rights Act, and in particular Article 1 of the First Protocol and Article 8 of the Act itself. This Act gives further effect to the rights included in the European Convention on Human Rights. In arriving at this recommendation, due regard has been given to the applicant's reasonable development rights and expectations which have been balanced and weighed against the wider community interests, as expressed through third party interests/the Development Plan and Central Government Guidance.

**Business Manager – Strategic Place**

TEIGNBRIDGE COUNCIL DISTRICT

**PLANNING COMMITTEE**

**CHAIRMAN:** Cllr Linda Goodman-Bradbury

<b>DATE:</b>	<b>15 February 2023</b>
<b>REPORT OF:</b>	<b>Business Manager – Strategic Place</b>
<b>SUBJECT:</b>	<b>Appeal Decisions received during previous calendar months December 2022 and January 2023</b>

- 21/00080/REF**                      **KINGSTEIGNTON** - Fosterville Cottage Fosterville  
 Appeal against the refusal of 20/01108/FUL - Agricultural rural workers dwelling  
  
 Appeal Dismissed. Delegated Decision
- 22/00004/TREE**                      **NEWTON ABBOT** - 6 Sutherland Close Newton Abbot  
 Appeal against refusal of application ref 21/02491/TPO to fell one silver birch (T1)  
  
 Appeal Dismissed. Delegated Decision
- 22/00030/NONDET**                      **NEWTON ABBOT** - 40 St Lukes Road Newton Abbot  
 Appeal against the non-determination of 22/00006/FUL - Dwelling in garden  
  
 Appeal Allowed. Delegated Decision
- 22/00043/FAST**                      **NEWTON ABBOT** - 1 Seymour Road Newton Abbot  
 Appeal against the refusal of 22/00304/HOU: Retention of approx. 1685mm high boundary wall to replace soil bank and privet hedge  
  
 Appeal Dismissed. Delegated Decision

**22/00048/REF**

**NEWTON ABBOT** - 33 Moorland View Newton Abbot  
Appeal against the refusal of 22/00711/FUL: Dwelling with parking

Appeal Dismissed. Delegated Decision

**22/00063/HHA**

**SHALDON** - The Fairstead Salty Lane  
Appeal against the decision not to issue remedial notice for 21/02038/HH - High hedge complaint

Appeal Withdrawn. Delegated Decision

**22/00058/REF**

**DAWLISH** - Castle Inn 18 Fordens Lane  
Appeal against the refusal of 22/01218/FUL - Proposed garage

Appeal Allowed.

**PLEASE NOTE THAT THE FULL TEXT OF THESE APPEAL DECISIONS IS AVAILABLE ON THE COUNCIL'S WEBSITE**

TEIGNBRIDGE DISTRICT COUNCIL

**PLANNING COMMITTEE**

CHAIRMAN: Cllr Linda Goodman-Bradbury

**DATE:** 15 February 2023

**REPORT OF:** Business Manager – Strategic Place

**SUBJECT:** S73 major decisions summary in December 2022 and January 2023

There were no such determinations made in this period.

**PLEASE NOTE THAT THE FULL TEXT OF THESE DECISIONS IS  
AVAILABLE ON THE COUNCIL'S WEBSITE**

This page is intentionally left blank